DOMEST FILE COPY ORIGINAL

93-75)

## Before the

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20554

In Re Applications of	) MM Docket No. 93-75
TRINITY BROADCASTING OF FLORIDA, INC.	) ) BRCT-911001LY
For Renewal of License of Television Station WHFT(TV) Miami, Florida	) )
GLENDALE BROADCASTING COMPANY	) BPCT-911227KE
For Construction Permit Miami, Florida	) )

## HEARING EXHIBITS

TRINITY BROADCASTING OF FLORIDA, INC.
TRINITY BROADCASTING NETWORK
NATIONAL MINORITY TELEVISION, INC.

TRINITY BROADCASTING OF FLORIDA, INC.,

TRINITY BROADCASTING NETWORK,

NATIONAL MIMORITY TELEVISION, INC.

Mullin, Rhyne, Emmons and Topel, P.C. 1000 Connecticut Ave. - Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036-5383 (202) 659-4700

Federal Communications Commission No. 93-35 Exhibit No. 125 ted by	Sition ( Rejected ( 12 4 )	1.12.94
Presented by	Disposition	Reporter.

2

3

BY MR. COHEN: 5

6

7

8

9

10

I want you to review to yourself, we don't have 11 Q to read this into the record, pages 57, line 20, up until 12 page 58, line 18. And I'm not trying to impeach you at all. 13 The reason I'm asking you this, I want you to read this 14 because it deals with what your knowledge was back in 1980 15 when NMTV was organized about Mrs. -- well, let me stop 16

17

18 on 58 to yourself, if you will. 18

right there.

19

Yes, I've read it.

20

21

My understanding of what you were saying there was that Mrs. Duff had advised you that the board of directors of NMTV would have a majority of persons who were

So just read from line 20 on page 57 to line

22

not board members of Trinity. 23

24

That was my conclusion when she specified who would be on the board.

25



1

1	Q Now that you are aware that Mrs. Duff and Paul
2	Crouch were both board members of Trinity, does that change
3	the testimony that change is a bad word does that
4	impact your testimony that you gave last week?
5	A I don't believe
6	MR. COHEN: Mr. Topel has something he wants to
7	raise.
8	MR. TOPEL: I just want to be directed to those
9	portions of the pages.
10	MR. COHEN: Give Mr. Topel time to read it before you
11	answer.
12	THE WITNESS: Sure.
13	MR. TOPEL: Thank you.
14	THE WITNESS: In my mind, when I answered that
15	question, and even as I sit here today, when Jane Duff
16	called me and informed me of the corporation Translator TV,
17	I don't believe she was at that time in 1980 an officer of
18	the corporation, I'm not sure, of Trinity Christian Center.
19	I'm not sure when she became an officer, but
20	when I testified before, as I testify today, in my mind at
21	that time she was not an officer of Trinity Christian
22	Center. It was Trinity Broadcasting Network.
23	BY MR. COHEN:
24	Q What about a director?
25	A She wasn't a director either. And, again, I



1	may be wrong as to when she assumed office. But when I
2	answered that question, I was assuming that she hadn't been
3	elected to the board of Trinity Broadcasting Network yet.
4	Q Well, let me show you something that might help
5	your recollection. I want to show you a document. The
6	first page doesn't have a Bates stamp, but the second page
7	does, 57607, action by written consent of Trinity
8	Broadcasting Network, which speaks as of September 11, 1980,
9	which reflects that Jane Duff was a vice president of TBN.
10	A Yes. And the fact that she's a vice
11	president
12	Q She was an officer then of TBN?
13	A That doesn't have anything to do with your
14	question.
15	MR. TOPEL: The quote from the transcript refers to
16	whether or not she was a board member at that point in time,
17	not an officer. Let me show it to you.
18	MR. COHEN: I understand.
19	THE WITNESS: Let me explain to you, in California
20	the word "member" is a word of art. A member is a director.
21	They are one in the same. You don't have a membership in
22	the sense that a church or, say, a synagogue would have. If
23	the only people that have the right to vote are directors,
24	the directors are the members.
25	I used the word "member" here. The fact that



1	she is an officer doesn't mean she's a director. It doesn't
2	mean that she has the right it doesn't mean she has the
3	power. She is just simply an officer. That's a world of
4	difference from a director, two different categories
5	altogether.
6	BY MR. COHEN:
7	Q I thought in answering the question you said
8	that she was not an officer or a director a few minutes ago.
9	I don't know if it's worth asking the reporter
LO .	to go back and read that, but I thought the witness answered
Ll	the question that you believed she was neither an officer or
L2	director of TBN in 1980. I think that's what the reporter
L3	would read back
L <b>4</b>	A If I said that, I was in error. I was focusing
L5	on her position as a director because that was the crucial
L <b>6</b>	position.
L7	Q That's the reason why I showed that to you.
L8	A Yes.
L <b>9</b>	Q Would it be helpful to have the reporter read
20	that back?
21	A No, because I was focusing when I gave this
22	testimony before on the fact that, in my mind, she was not a
23	director. That's crucial. It's really irrelevant if she
24	was an officer.



You have answered my question, and that's

Q

1	helpful to me. Can I have that minute back?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Mr. Shook points out to me, and he is a much
4	more careful lawyer than I, that indeed in 1979, according
5	to information filed with the Commission, it appears that
6	Mrs. Duff was a director.
7	I couldn't put my fingers on it, so I didn't
8	want to make a statement, but perhaps you'd review this.
9	And I'm not trying to be difficult with you, Mr. Juggert. I
10	hope you don't think I am.
11	A You need to realize that my memory back to 1979
12	is not that strong.
13	Q I said to you I wasn't trying to impeach you.
14	I told you that. Off the record.
15	(Discussion off the record.)
16	THE WITNESS: Well, my testimony was in error that I
17	gave before, assuming this is correct. I'd have to look at
18	the minutes, because that's the crucial document.
19	BY MR. COHEN:
20	Q The reason that I asked you about this was I
21	was trying to deal with your state of mind back when
22	Translator TV was organized, and that's why I brought this
23	up.
24	Assume now for the moment that the records
25	filed with the Commission are accurate and that Mrs. Duff



was a director. Uh-huh. Α So your testimony, then, at page 58 was based, Q I gather, on a misunderstanding as to whether or not she was a director; is that fair to you? It was based upon the lack of proper recollection on my part, poor memory. 



1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	·
10	
11	
12	Q I want to now ask you a follow-up question on a
13	question I asked you in the deposition. Howard, refer to
14	page 59 so you will see I'm not asking the same question.
15	What I'm talking about is line 15 on page 59, and show it to
16	the witness.
17	And the question that I have is: You notice in
18	your testimony at line 15 you stated there were specific
19	conversations with Jane in 1987; do you see that?
20	A I need to see the context.
21	Q Sure. Read as much as you need.
22	A What's your question?
23	Q My question now is not the question I asked you
24	last week. My question is: Give me your best recollection
25	of the specific conversations with Jane in 1987 that you



1 mentioned at line 15.

testimony referred to a memo, just a little handwritten note that Jane Duff sent me, and it may have been 19 -- I'm pretty sure it was 1987. It may have been a little bit before then, in which she said something to the effect that the FCC was now giving preferences for minority-controlled entities, and I remember talking to her about that. And, as I recall, she had in mind, I think, preferences for low-power stations, translators.

Q Now, your recollection is this conversation occurred in 1987?

A Well, it may have been '85. The memo was '85, '87. It was on some little personal stationery, and she sent a note to me that dealt with other matters and said, "We've just learned that there can be preferences for minority-controlled entities."

Those documents were produced to you. You probably know the memo I'm talking about.

Q I really don't. And I'm not playing games with you when I say I don't. If I had it, I would show it to you. It's not to my benefit not to show you a document in discovery, but I don't have it.

Your recollection is these conversations occurred in 1987?



```
I don't know. In 1985, 1987. The memo has a
 1
     date on it, and it was about that time.
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

